

## Integrated Farming Strategies for Climatic Resilient Agriculture under Rainfed Conditions in North West Himalayan Regions

Gulshan Kumar<sup>1</sup> and Hem Chander<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CSKHPKV Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Hamirpur (H.P.), INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Bio-Sciences, Career Point University, Hamirpur (H.P.), INDIA

\* Correspondence: E-mail: [hemchander78@gmail.com](mailto:hemchander78@gmail.com)

(Received 20 Apr, 2018; Accepted 17 May, 2018; Published 22 May, 2018)

**ABSTRACT:** The results of the National Innovation on Climatic Resilient Agriculture (NICRA) investigations on integrated farming strategies to cope with rain-fed conditions of Hamirpur district of Himachal Pradesh are being described. On the basis of hydrological and technical as well as social and cultural conditions, appropriate technological strategies have been implemented to combat the effects of climate change. The main objective was to enhance the resilience of Indian agriculture. The top most priority of the present study was to way out the source of water for meeting minimum critical water needs of crop diversification and maintenance of ecological balance under rain-fed condition and to analyze their technical and economical feasibility as well as their affordability for future users. The present study reveals that it is economically feasible to apply decentralized technologies and strategies in farming system for climatic resilient agriculture universally.

**Keywords:** Crop diversification; Livestock; NICRA; Rain-fed and Resilience.

**INTRODUCTION:** The climate change issue is global, long term and involves complex interaction between demographic, climatic, environmental, economic, health, political, institutional, social and technological processes.<sup>1</sup> It has significant international and intergenerational implications in context of equity and sustainable development. Climatic change will impact social, economic, and environmental systems and shape prospects for food, water and health security.<sup>2</sup> Socioeconomic and resource development technological characteristics of populations strongly affect CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, explaining the pace and capacity of societies to adapt to and mitigate climate change.<sup>3-6</sup> In addition, extreme climate events are registered and some proxies representing local climate at different places across the world reveal that the 20<sup>th</sup> century is probably neither the warmest nor a uniquely extreme climatic period of the last millennium.<sup>7-11</sup> A variable sun through changes in solar irradiance and processes such as biological regime shifts may have caused the observed climate variability and climate fluctuations over much of the Holocene. Indeed, geophysical, archaeological and historical evidences support a solar output model for climate change during a large part of the Holocene. Climate fluctuations are not necessarily anthropogenic as inferred from biological and geological proxies, terrestrial palaeo-temperature may have been higher due to natural variability.<sup>12</sup> Hima-

chal Pradesh is comparatively a small state in North-West Himalayas.<sup>13-15</sup> Rain is the first and the ultimate source that feeds all rivers, lakes and ground water which are all secondary sources of water and hence remains ignorant of its value, so it is necessary to understand the value of rainwater at the place where it falls. Mittal and Sharma proposed watershed management plans in Shivalik foothills to avoid drought situations.<sup>16</sup> Tied ridging had been found beneficial for moisture conservation and in increasing yield of cotton.<sup>17</sup> Approximately one-third of the irrigated area of Tamil Nadu is watered by eris (tanks).<sup>18</sup> Previous studies have shown that substance agriculture in hilly region could be successfully transformed into a profit-earning enterprise by tapping and utilizing rainwater in limited quantities.<sup>19</sup> Hence, World Development Report (2008) emphasized that the potential of agriculture to contribute to growth and poverty reduction depends on the productivity of smallholder farmers.<sup>20</sup> And raising that productivity will require a much higher level of adoption of new agricultural practices and technologies than presently observed in the smallholder farming population.<sup>21</sup> Certified organic agriculture and polylined tank techniques must meet certain standards in the production, processing and handling which developed in accordance with basic standards established by the International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements.<sup>22,23</sup> It would be useful

investing in decentralized facilities, efficient technologies, policies and human capital to improve overall productivity rather than to find new sources of water supply.<sup>24,25</sup> Traditionally, such systems have been integrated with agro-forestry and ethno-forestry practices, and remain useful in contemporary conservation and ecological restoration of degraded ecosystems.<sup>26,27</sup> The low external input and sustainable agriculture (LEISA) approaches involve limiting the use of external inputs such as inorganic fertilizers and pesticides as well as rain harvested water through polylined tanks relying more on local and naturally available resources and a combination of traditional and improved methods to manage soil fertility, water, pests and other agronomic needs.<sup>28,29</sup>

Climate change is being witnessed all over the world and its impact on agriculture is more vulnerable in countries like India in view of the huge population dependent on agriculture, excessive pressure on agriculture, excessive pressure on natural resources and poor coping mechanisms.<sup>30-36</sup> The results of the National Innovation on Climatic Resilient Agriculture (NICRA) investigations on integrated farming strategies to cope with rain-fed conditions of Hamirpur district of Himachal Pradesh are being described. On the basis of hydrological and technical as well as social and cultural conditions, appropriate technological strategies have been implemented to combat the effects of climate change which are developed, discussed and evaluated. Farmers need to adapt quickly to increasing frequency of drought, flood, variations in rainfall and other extreme events to stabilize crop yields and farm income.<sup>37-42</sup> National innovations in Climate Resilient Agriculture have been aimed to enhance resilience in agriculture to climate change and climate variability through strategic research and technology demonstrations.<sup>42-45</sup> The objective of the project were:

- To enhance the resilience of Indian agriculture covering crops, livestock to climate variability and climate change through development and application of improved production and risk management technologies.
- To demonstrate site specific technology packages on farmer's field for adapting to current climate risks.
- To enhance the capacity of scientists and other stakeholders in climate resilient agricultural research and its application.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS:** To address the climatic vulnerabilities of the district, National Innovation on Climatic Resilient Agriculture (NICRA –KVK Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh), selected village cluster for

the project implementation. Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) and Focus Group Discussion (FGD) have been undertaken along with survey at household level. A baseline had been established, constraints were flagged and an action plan prepared in construction with the farmers and VCRMC (Village Climate Risk Management Committee) in year 2011. After a careful study of the gaps, specific interventions from each of the module are selected and an integrated package from all modules formulated as per need of farming community of the village (Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, Table 4).

**Table 1: Project village agro-statics.**

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Name of the village and district</b>                                   | Mann Panchayat and Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, INDIA   |
| <b>Number of households</b>   | 483  |
| <b>Total cultivated area</b>  | 117.87 hectare   |
| <b>Area under rainfed cultivation</b>                                     | 104.79 hectare   |
| <b>Major soil types</b>   | Sandy soil and Silty clayey loamy soil   |
| <b>Climatic vulnerability of the village and the extent area affected</b> | Drought condition, a total of 104.79 hectare area affected during the study period (2011-16) |

## MODULES OF INTERVENTIONS:

### Module I: Natural Resource Management (NRM):

It consists of interventions related to *in-situ* moisture conservation, rainwater harvesting and recycling for supplemental irrigation, improve drainage in flood prone areas, conservation tillage where appropriate, artificial ground water recharge and water saving irrigation methods, mulching, crop residue recycling, land and soil health management (Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5).



**Figure 1: Demonstration on polylined tank**





**Figure 2: Demonstration on RCC tank.**



**Figure 3: Demonstration on *in situ* plastic mulch.**



**Figure 4: Demonstration on ridge and furrow cultivation in vegetables.**



**Figure 5: Demonstration on vermin-tetrad.**

**Module II: Crop Production Systems:** It comprises the introduction of stress tolerant crops, resilient cropping systems, stress tolerant cultivars to drought/flood, high temperature, cold stress, pest and diseases, contingency crop planning, crop diversification, water saving paddy cultivation methods (SRI, Aerobic, Direct Seedling Rice), advancement of planting dates of Rabi crops in areas with terminal heat stress and frost, site specific nutrient management, community nurseries for delayed monsoon, location specific intercropping systems, integrated pests, disease and crop management (Figure 6, Figure 7, Figure 8, Figure 9, Figure 10, Figure 11, Figure 12).



**Figure 6: Demonstration on line sowing in maize crop.**



**Figure 7: Demonstration on hybrid wheat crop.**



**Figure 8: Demonstration on Oilseed crop.**





Figure 9: Demonstration on pulses.



Figure 11: Demonstration on Okra crop.



Figure 10: Demonstration on rhizome crop.



Figure 12: Demonstration on Pheromone trap for fruit-fly.

Table 2: Rainfall received in mm (no of rainy days) during 2011 to 2016.

| Month     | Normal rainfall (mm) | Actual-2011 | Actual-2012 | Actual -2013 | Actual-2014 | Actual-2015 | Actual 2016 |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| January   | 41.74                | 33 (1)      | 58.75 (7)   | 42.45 (3)    | 60.4 (2)    | 77.8 (5)    | 8.6 (2)     |
| February  | 63.62                | 132.5 (7)   | 15 (3)      | 79.8 (6)     | 104.8 (5)   | 77.5 (5)    | 17.2 (2)    |
| March     | 48.44                | 40.5 (2)    | -Nil-       | 50.7 (3)     | 76.1 (6)    | 154.2 (5)   | 64.4 (50)   |
| April     | 42.93                | 38.75 (1)   | 64.75 (4)   | 7.5 (3)      | 34.4 (2)    | 47.5 (3)    | 20.6 (1)    |
| May       | 32.16                | 33.25 (2)   | -Nil-       | 13.27 (2)    | 69.8 (4)    | 22.8 (3)    | 73.6 (6)    |
| June      | 145.88               | 133.25 (7)  | 26.25 (3)   | 228.68 (12)  | 104.5 (20)  | 140.8 (8)   | 99.1 (8)    |
| July      | 302.65               | 221.75 (5)  | 169 (7)     | 207.8 (13)   | 428.0 (14)  | 281.0 (9)   | 338.6 (11)  |
| August    | 420.13               | 317.5 (10)  | 319.9 (13)  | 745 (13)     | 260.6 (12)  | 371.3 (8)   | 516.5 (11)  |
| September | 136.30               | 102.5 (5)   | 229.2 (8)   | 102.5 (4)    | 63.0 (7)    | 73.6 (5)    | 76.4 (5)    |
| October   | 29.03                | -Nil-       | -Nil-       | 28 (1)       | -Nil-       | 5.2 (1)     | -Nil-       |
| November  | 5.41                 | -Nil-       | 5.4 (1)     | 22 (1)       | 2.0 (1)     | 11.0 (1)    | -Nil-       |
| December  | 26.41                | -Nil-       | 47.7 (2)    | 32.3 (3)     | 61 (1)      | 21.6 (1)    | -Nil-       |
| Total     | 1294.7               | 1053.45     | 935.05      | 1560         | 1264.6      | 1284.3      | 1215        |

**Table 3: Variability in precipitation during the cropping period from 2011-2016.**

| Month     | Date  | 2011      | 2012      | 2013    | 2014           | 2015           | 2016           |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| February  | 25    | -         | -         | -       | -              | Hail and Storm | -              |
| March     | 16    | -         | -         | -       | -              | Hail and Storm | -              |
| April     | 16    | -         | -         | -       | -              | Hail and Storm | -              |
| May       | 25    | -         | -         | -       | -              | -              | Hail and Storm |
| September | 26    | -         | -         | -       | Hail and Storm | -              | -              |
| July      | 14    | -         | -         | -       | Lodging        | -              | -              |
| August    | 15-16 | -         | -         | Lodging | -              | -              | -              |
| October   | 14    | -         | -         | -       | Hail and Storm | -              | -              |
| October   | -     | Dry spell | Dry spell | -       | Dry spell      | -              | Dry spell      |
| November  | -     | Dry spell | -         | -       | -              | -              | Dry spell      |
| December  | -     | Dry spell | -         | -       | -              | -              | Dry spell      |

**Table 4: Soil nutrients status of the project village.**

| Soil pH | Organic content (%) | Nitrogen (kg/ha) | Phosphorus (Kg/ha) | Potassium (Kg/ha) | Sulphur (Kg/ha) | Boron (ppm) |
|---------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 5.3-6.9 | 0.3-0.5             | 78-470           | 11-35              | 78-316            | 3.0-15.0        | 0.23-4.4    |

**Module III: Livestock and Fisheries:** In this module interventions include introduction of stress tolerant breeds, improved feed and mineral supplementation, fodder production, silage making, preventive vaccination, improved shelters for reducing heat stress in livestock, innovative fish production systems, use of community lands for fodder production during drought/floods (Figure 13, Figure 14, Figure 15, Figure 16, Figure 17).

**Module IV: Institutional Interventions:** It maintains support systems in the village include strengthening of existing institutions or initiating new ones VCRMC (Village Climate Risk Management Committee), establishing and management of custom hiring centre for farm implements, seed bank, fodder bank, creation of commodity group, initiating collective marketing by tapping value chains, introduction of weather index based insurance and climate literacy and advisory

services using data from automatic eater station and village level manual weather station.

**Figure 13: Demonstration on Azolla cultivation.**





Figure 14: Backyard Palam strain poultry.



Figure 15: Animal health checkup camp.



Figure 16: Routine Vaccination in goatry.



Figure 17: Beetal breed up-gradation in goatry.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION:

**I. Natural Resource Management:** Drought is the major constraint. Climate variability in terms of historical rainfall trend over the last three decades indicated that dry spells of 10-20 days are increasing particularly during Rabi season. Therefore in order to mitigate drought to some extent major focus was on water harvesting for life saving irrigation through small scale water harvesting structures like farm ponds and roof water harvesting structures. These structures enable reuse of harvested rainwater during critical period of vegetable crop growth stage by ridges and furrows method of irrigation or for providing pre-sowing and life saving irrigation to Rabi crops. The farmers were motivated to construct water harvesting structures through awareness cum training programme which resulted in construction of six poly lined tanks (10m X 6m X 1.5m) and twelve RCC tanks (3m X 3m X 3m). This intervention helped the farmers for diversification to vegetable crops (Table 5, Table 6).

Table 5: Water harvesting interventions taken up in the village.

| Structures/Years of Construction  | Category    | 2011-12 | 2012-13              | 2013-14 | 2014-15        | 2015-16 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| No. of farm ponds/ <i>Jalkund</i> | Constructed | -       | 6 (poly-lined Tanks) | -       | 12 (RCC Tanks) | -       |

Table 6: Details of water harvesting structures constructed.

|  |                              |
|--|------------------------------|
| Volume of water harvested i) Poly lined ii) RCC tanks respectively | 58000 litre, 12000 litre     |
| Area covered with supplemental irrigation in Kharif                | 0.16ha                       |
| Additional area brought under irrigation in Rabi                   | 0.16 ha                      |
| No. of times filled in the year                                    | 3                            |
| Cost of tanks i) Poly lined ii) RCC tanks respectively             | Rs 48000/tank, Rs 58700/tank |

**Table 7: Extent of adoption/spread of interventions year wise.**

|                                      | Before NICRA   | 2011 | 2012    | 2013 | 2014    | 2015 | 2016-17 |
|--------------------------------------|--|------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|
| No. of units existing in the village | 4  | -    | 6       | -    | 12      | -    | -       |
| Area benefited in the village (ha)   | 0.2 ha   | -    | 0.96 ha | -    | 2.16 ha | -    | -       |
| Impact of Intervention               | Area under crop diversification shifted from 2.0 ha to 10 ha |      |         |      |         |      |         |

**Table 8: In-situ conservation measures demonstrated in the village.**

| Interventions demonstrated | 2011-12        |           | 2012-13        |           | 2013-14        |           | 2014-15        |           | 2015-16        |           | 2016-17        |           |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
|                            | No. of Farmers | Area (ha) | No. of Farmers | Area (ha) | No. of Farmers | Area (ha) | No. of Farmers | Area (ha) | No. of Farmers | Area (ha) | No. of Farmers | Area (ha) |
| Mulching Plastic Biomass   | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 25             | 1.2       | -              | -         |
|                            | -              | -         | 2              | 0.2       | 7              | 0.8       | 8              | 1.0       | 11             | 1.2       | 22             | 2.5       |
| Ridge& Furrow              | 2              | 0.08      | 7              | 1.0       | 8              | 1.5       | 2              | 1.48      | 16             | 1.48      | 18             | 1.8       |

**Table 9: In situ moisture conservation through biomass mulching.**

| Intervention                   | Demo  | Check  |
|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Crop in which mulching is done | Turmeric, Colocasia, Ginger   | Turmeric, Colocasia, Ginger                  |
| Nature of biomass              | Locally available leaves of fruit & forest trees, grass and Lantana | Turmeric, Colocasia, Ginger                  |
| Area (ha)                      | 3   | 1  |
| Biomass quality (q/ha)         | 20-22 ton./ha/season  | -  |
| Method of irrigation           | Rain-fed area   | Rain-fed area                                |
| No. of irrigation reduced      | 20  | 25   |
| Water saved due to irrigation  | -   | -  |
| Yield (q/ha)                   | Turmeric-190<br>Colocassia-215<br>Ginger-130                        | Turmeric-165<br>Colocassia-180<br>Ginger-105 |
| Weed infestation               | 10%   | 100%   |

**Table 10: Effect of biomass mulching in vegetable crops (No. of farmers involved = 25).**

| Treatment                             | Crop         | Yield (Kg/ha) | Gross returns (Rs/ha) | Net return (Rs/ha) | B:C ratio |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Improved Practice of Biomass mulching | Bitter gourd | 35500         | 305000                | 213000             | 3.3       |
|                                       | Bottle gourd | 31500         | 315000                | 227000             | 3.5       |
|                                       | Cucumber     | 17200         | 172000                | 104000             | 2.5       |
| Farmer's Practice                     | Bitter gourd | 26000         | 222000                | 134000             | 2.5       |
|                                       | Bottle gourd | 24000         | 240000                | 154000             | 2.8       |
|                                       | Cucumber     | 11800         | 118000                | 53000              | 1.8       |

These tanks were able to provide irrigation to transplanted cauliflower, cabbage, broccoli, tomato, cucurbits, okra and other vegetable crops. Earlier to construction of rainwater harvesting structures, farmers were reluctant to opt for vegetable cultivation in rainfed situation in study village. They also faced a big challenge to cope with irrigation problems especially during critical period of growth. However, after construction of rain water harvesting structures, the farmers use harvested rain water for irrigation by ridges and furrows method in their vegetable crops. Hence, there is an increase in irrigated area of the village as well as enhanced production of crops (Table 7). Through this intervention, there has been a significant change in the cropping pattern as the area under vegetable crops has increased, replacing area under cereals. About 30-35 percent vegetable growers have been adopted technology of rain water harvesting for use in their kitchen gardening.

**In-situ moisture conservation through plastic mulch:** Plastic mulching technology in vegetables especially cucurbits (bitter gourd, bottle gourd and cucumber) help in conserving soil moisture and also reduce weed infestation. The farmers get better yield by adopting this technology. The water losses are reduced besides saving labour employed for hand weeding. The extent of adoption of this technology varied from 20-22 per cent among vegetable growers of NICRA village. By adopting plastic mulching technology, the number of irrigation was reduced to 30-35 per cent as compared to earlier frequency of irrigations. Similarly weeding and hoeing operations which employ at lot labour were also reduced to nil after adopting this technique.

**In-situ moisture conservation through biomass mulch:** Biomass mulching helped in in-situ soil moisture conservation and as consequence during dry spell, no adverse effect on standing crops of turmeric, colocasia and ginger noticed where as in check plots withering of plants observed, resulted in higher yield of demo fields (Table 8, Table 9). Likewise, less number of weeds also contributed to enhance crop yield. After use of biomass mulch 20 number of irrigation were reduced as earlier 25 irrigations in cucurbits (Table 10).

**Ridge and furrow technology:** Ridge and furrow method of cultivation has been promoted in the study area (Table 11). The technique resulted in obtaining higher yield, water saving up to 25-10 per cent and better crop management as compared to farmers' practice of direct flooding.

**Table 11: Effect of Ridge and Furrow technology in cucurbits (No. of Farmers involved = 24).**

| Treatment   | Crop         | Yield (kg/ha) | Gross returns (Rs/ha) | Net returns (Rs/ha) | B:C ratio |
|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Improved practice of Ridge and Furrow technology in cucurbits | Bitter gourd | 35500         | 305000                | 213000              | 3.3       |
|   | Bottle gourd | 31500         | 315000                | 227000              | 3.5       |
|   | Cucumber     | 17200         | 172000                | 104000              | 2.5       |
| Farmer's practices  | Bitter gourd | 26000         | 222000                | 134000              | 2.5       |
|   | Bottle gourd | 24000         | 240000                | 154000              | 2.8       |
|   | Cucumber     | 11800         | 118000                | 53000               | 1.8       |

**Interventions of vermi-composting:** This intervention has been adopted in study area through pit method and tetra vermin-beds. It resulted in 1.2 quintals vermin-compost on dry basis from one unit in one season and reduced nutrient application by 30 per cent.

**II. Crop Production System:** Wheat and maize are the major crops grown in study area. Farmers are getting low remunerations from existing varieties of cereals. High yielding varieties of maize and wheat (Early, timely and late sown group) have been demonstrated in the village. In order to conserve the residual moisture after harvest of maize, a *Zaid* crop of *Toria* was also introduced and demonstrated. *Toria* variety Bhawani has been resistant to drought and yielded good returns to marginal farmers. Crop diversification to oilseed and vegetable crops has enhanced economy of farmers.

**Interventions related to crop production:** Vegetable growers face big challenge to combat fruit fly menace especially in cucurbits crops. Therefore, KVK demonstrated the technology of pheromone trap as a low cost and eco-friendly alternative to manage this insect. The farmers have been able to harvest more yield. About 60-65 per cent farmers have adopted this technology. As far as progressive farmers concerned, the adoption rate is above 90 per cent. Earlier farmers restored to indiscriminate application of insecticides for control of fruit fly in cucurbits. Spray application of 5-7 numbers were applied under cucurbits cultivation. However, with the adoption of pheromone trap of fruit-fly, numbers of spray applications have been reduced to 2-3 only (Table 12, Table 13, Table 14, Table 15, Table 16, Table 17).



Table 12: Summary of Crop production interventions.

| Interventions demonstrated                                     | 2011-12        |           | 2012-13        |           | 2013-14        |           | 2014-15        |           | 2015-16        |           | 2016-17        |           |
|--|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
|  | No. of farmers | Area (ha) | No. of farmers | Area (ha) | No. of farmers | Area (ha) | No. of farmers | Area (ha) | No. of farmers | Area (ha) | No. of farmers | Area (ha) |
| <b>Improved varieties of crops</b>                             |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |
| <b>Maize</b>   |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |
| <b>Plant Gene (2465)</b>                                       | -              | -         | 33             | 2.5       | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Vyas</b>  | 64             | 5.0       | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>4640</b>  | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 5              | 0.96      | 13             | 3.0       | -              | -         |
| <b>Polo gold</b>   | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 16             | 2.5       |
| <b>Girja</b>   | -              | -         | 2.5            | 29        | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Proline (3440)</b>  | -              | -         | -              | -         | 3.0            | 25        | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Wheat (Early sown )</b>                                     |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |
| <b>VL 829</b>  | 20             | 0.2       | -              | -         | 6              | 1.0       | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>HPW 360</b>   | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 5              | 1.0       | -              | -         |
| <b>HS 542</b>  | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 2              | 1.0       |
| <b>Wheat (Timely sown )</b>                                    |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |
| <b>HPW 236</b>   | 8              | 1.5       | 25             | 4.0       | 9              | 2.0       | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>HPW 349</b>   | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 6              | 2.0       | 28             | 3.0       | -              | -         |
| <b>VL 907</b>  | 7              | 0.25      | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>HPW 368</b>   | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 17             | 2.0       |
| <b>Wheat (Late sown )</b>                                      |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |
| <b>HS 490</b>  | 6              | 0.25      | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>VL 892</b>  | 2              | 0.2       | -              | -         | 5              | 1.3       | -              | -         | 6              | 0.36      | -              | -         |
| <b>Short duration variety of Toria (Bhawamni) as Zaid Crop</b> | 45             | 3.3       | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 20             | 1.0       | 21             | 0.83      |
| <b>Gobhi Sarson (ONK-1)/GSC-7)</b>                             | 42             | 2.0       | 23             | 1.0       | -              | -         | 22             | 1.0       | 50             | 2.0       | 19             | 1.0       |
| <b>Brown Sarson (KBS-3)</b>                                    | -              | -         | 37             | 2.0       | 22             | 2.0       | 19             | 1.0       | 25             | 1.0       | 18             | 2.0       |
| <b>Okra (P-8)</b>  | 12             | 0.6       | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Tulsi</b>   | 26             | 1.6       | -              | -         | 8              | 0.32      | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Drought escaping / tolerant varieties</b>                   | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Heat / Flood</b>  | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Intercropping systems</b>                                   | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>1Maize (4640) +Soyabean (Harit Soya)</b>                    | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 8              | 1.04      | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Crop diversification</b>                                    |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |                |           |
| <b>Okra (P-8)</b>  | 12             | 1.6       | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Tulsi</b>   | 26             | 1.6       | -              | -         | 8              | 0.3       | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Turmeric Palam-Pitamber</b>                                 | -              | -         | 22             | 0.12      | 37             | 0.16      | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         |
| <b>Caoliflower (626)</b>                                       | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 7              | 0.4       | 12             | 1.2       | 9              | 0.8       |
| <b>Cabbage (Green Voyager)</b>                                 | -              | -         | -              | -         | -              | -         | 7              | 0.2       | -              | -         | -              | -         |

|  |   |   |    |      |    |     |    |      |    |      |    |     |
|--|---|---|----|------|----|-----|----|------|----|------|----|-----|
| Cabbage (Char-mant)  | - | - | -  | -    | -  | -   | -  | -    | 10 | 0.64 | 6  | 0.4 |
| Broccoli (Green Magic)   | - | - | -  | -    | -  | -   | -  | -    | -  | -    | 4  | 0.2 |
| Onion Seed (N-53)  | - | - | 4  | 0.16 | -  | -   | 20 | 0.24 | -  | -    | -  | -   |
| Bitter Gourd (Palee)   | - | - | -  | -    | -  | -   | 9  | 1    | 5  | 0.4  | -  | -   |
| Cucumber (Malav)   | - | - | -  | -    | -  | -   | 9  | 0.4  | 6  | 0.4  | -  | -   |
| Summer Squash (Korean germ)  | - | - | -  | -    | -  | -   | 3  | 0.2  | -  | -    | -  | -   |
| Bottle Gourd (Sharda)  | - | - | -  | -    | -  | -   | 5  | 0.4  | 5  | 0.48 | -  | -   |
| Elephant Foot Yam (Narendra2)  | - | - | -  | -    | -  | -   | -  | -    | 8  | 0.04 | -  | -   |
| Management of Fruit Fly by use of BAT and male annihilation technique (Pheromoe Traps) |   |   | 59 | 2.4  | 82 | 3.3 | 25 | 1.0  | 95 | 2.0  | 19 | 0.6 |

Table 13: Impact and performance of the demonstration on crop production.

| Year    | Crop                | Variety           | Demon. Yield (Q/ha) | Gross Return | Net return | BC ratio |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| 2011-12 | Maize               | Vyas              | 22.2                | 26536        | 9289       | 1.54     |
| 2012-13 |                     | Plant Gene (2465) | 29.2                | 26577        | 9316       | 1.55     |
| 2012-13 |                     | Girza             | 22.3                | 26566        | 9294       | 1.54     |
| 2013-14 |                     | Proline (3440)    | 19.2                | 31500        | 10680      | 1.57     |
| 2014-15 |                     | 4640              | 25.1                | 31108        | 14658      | 1.89     |
| 2015-16 |                     |                   | 23.0                | 33504        | 11508      | 1.52     |
| 2016-17 |                     | Pologold          | 23.1                | 33561        | 11561      | 1.52     |
| 2011-12 | Wheat (Early Sown)  | VL-829            | 25.7                | 36087        | 16712      | 1.92     |
| 2013-14 |                     |                   | 25.6                | 36008        | 16342      | 1.94     |
| 2015-16 |                     | HPW 360           | 26.7                | 37500        | 17500      | 1.87     |
| 2016-17 |                     | HS 542            | 27.9                | 41850        | 19350      | 1.86     |
| 2011-12 | Wheat (Timely Sown) | HPW 236           | 26.8                | 31441        | 12041      | 1.62     |
| 2011-12 |                     | VL 907            | 25.7                | 36114        | 16614      | 1.94     |
| 2012-13 |                     | HPW 236           | 25.8                | 31439        | 12039      | 1.61     |
| 2013-14 |                     |                   | 26.0                | 31286        | 11886      | 1.61     |
| 2014-15 |                     | HPW 349           | 27.3                | 41126        | 19126      | 1.86     |
| 2015-16 |                     |                   | 27.2                | 41300        | 18800      | 1.84     |
| 2016-17 |                     | HPW 368           | 27.4                | 42150        | 19650      | 1.87     |
| 2011-12 |                     | HS 490            | 27.0                | 31422        | 12022      | 1.62     |
| 2011-12 | Wheat (Late Sown)   | VL 892            | 25.2                | 35700        | 17200      | 1.93     |
| 2013-14 |                     |                   | 25.8                | 31356        | 11956      | 1.61     |
| 2015-16 |                     |                   | 26.3                | 41277        | 18772      | 1.83     |
| 2011-12 | Torja               | Bhawani           | 6.2                 | 19741        | 7090       | 1.56     |
| 2015-16 |                     |                   | 6.0                 | 19776        | 6846       | 1.53     |
| 2016-17 |                     |                   | 6.5                 | 19500        | 7500       | 1.62     |
| 2011-12 | Gobhi Sarson        | ONK-1             | 6.8                 | 19750        | 6750       | 1.51     |
| 2012-13 |                     |                   | 6.9                 | 19795        | 6795       | 1.50     |
| 2014-15 |                     |                   | 6.5                 | 21060        | 7360       | 1.54     |



|         |                   |                               |       |        |         |      |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--------|---------|------|
| 2015-16 |                   | GSC 7                         | 7.2   | 21750  | 6750    | 1.45 |
| 2011-12 | Okra              | P-8                           | 180   | 180000 | 1300000 | 2.6  |
| 2013-14 |                   | Tulsi                         | 160   | 155000 | 150000  | 2.4  |
|         |                   |                               | 210.3 | 210000 | 140000  | 3.00 |
| 2012-13 | Turmeric          | Palam Pi-tamber               | 315   | 252000 | 172000  | 2.15 |
|         |                   |                               | 320   | 320000 | 227500  | 3.4  |
| 2012-13 | Cauliflower       | F <sub>1</sub> -71            | 290   | 290000 | 210000  | 2.63 |
| 2014-15 |                   | 626                           | 172   | 265000 | 184400  | 3.2  |
| 2015-16 |                   |                               | 185   | 270000 | 188000  | 3.3  |
| 2016-17 |                   |                               | 205   | 280000 | 195000  | 3.2  |
| 2014-15 | Cabbage           | Green voyager                 | 200   | 225000 | 144400  | 2.79 |
| 2015-16 |                   | Charmant                      | 190   | 230000 | 148000  | 2.8  |
| 2016-17 |                   |                               | 170   | 250000 | 140000  | 2.7  |
| 2016-17 | Broccoli          | Green magic                   | 135   | 170000 | 130000  | 2.6  |
| 2012-13 | Onion seed        | N-53                          | 270   | 190000 | 150000  | 2.9  |
| 2014-15 |                   |                               | 265   | 192000 | 155000  | 2.8  |
| 2014-15 | Bitter Gourd      | Palee                         | 340   | 282000 | 194400  | 3.2  |
| 2015-16 |                   |                               | 355   | 305000 | 213000  | 3.3  |
| 2014-15 | Cucumber          | Malav                         | 160   | 160000 | 93000   | 2.3  |
| 2015-16 |                   |                               | 172   | 172000 | 104000  | 2.5  |
| 2014-15 | Summer Squash     | Korean Green                  | 280   | 280000 | 207000  | 3.8  |
| 2014-15 | Bottle Gourd      | Sharda                        | 330   | 330000 | 245500  | 3.9  |
| 2015-16 |                   |                               | 315   | 315000 | 227000  | 3.5  |
| 2016-17 | Elephant Foot Yam | Narendra 2                    | 500   | 450000 | 200000  | 1.8  |
| 2014-15 | Bitter Guard      | Pheromone Traps for fruit fly | 265   | 265000 | 175000  | 2.94 |
| 2015-16 | Cucumber          |                               | 150   | 225000 | 144000  | 2.78 |

**Table 14: Extent of spread/adoption/Scaling up of intervention of crop diversification to vegetable crops year wise.**

|                              | Before NICRA | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|------------------------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Area under intervention (ha) | 2.9          | 3.2  | 3.5  | 4.2  | 4.5  | 5.5  | 7.0  |
| No. of Farmers adopted       | 5            | 7    | 8    | 9    | 11   | 12   | 14   |

**Table 15: Characters and attributes of crop varieties demonstrated.**

| Variety            | Characters and attributes |                        |  |                                 |                  |
|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------------------|------------------|
|                    | Year of release           | Potential Yield (q/ha) | Benefits/Remarks   | Date of Maturity                | Days to Maturity |
| Wheat (HPW 360)    | 2015                      | 32                     | Early sown variety, uses residual moisture, yellow rust resistant, high yielding | 1 <sup>st</sup> week of May     | 160              |
| Wheat (HPW 349)    | 2011-12                   | 35                     | Timely sown variety, yellow rust resistant, high yielding                        | Last week of April              | 150              |
| Maize 4640         | Pvt. Hybrid               | 45                     | High yielding, medium height, comparatively resistant to lodging                 | Till 25 <sup>th</sup> September | 100              |
| Gobhi Sarson GSC-7 | 2014                      | 14-15                  | High yielding and quality oil content  | 1 <sup>st</sup> week of April   | 150-155          |
| Toria (Bhawani)    | >10 years                 | 7-8                    | Zaid crop, short duration, less water requirement                                | Till 15 <sup>th</sup> December  | 80               |

|                                      |             |     |   |                                       |   |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Cabbage (Charmant)</b>            | Pvt. Hybrid | 270 | High yielding , syn-chronous maturity and disease resistant | 1 <sup>st</sup> Fortnight of February | Varies depending upon time of translating/seeding |
| <b>Bitter gourd (Aman)</b>           | Pvt. Hybrid | 355 | High yielding and disease resistant                         | June-September                        | -   |
| <b>Bottle Guard (Sharda, Shambu)</b> | Pvt. Hybrid | 370 | High yielding and disease resistant                         | June-September                        |   |
| <b>Cucumber (Malav)</b>              | Pvt. Hybrid | 160 | High yielding and disease resistant                         | May-July                              |   |

**Table 16: Impact of crop diversification through vegetable cultivation.**

| INTERVENTION        | BEFORE NICRA |              | AFTER NICRA |              |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
|                     | Area         | Yield (q/ha) | Area        | Yield (q/ha) |
| <b>Cauliflower</b>  | 1.28         | 247          | 2.0         | 290          |
| <b>Bitter gourd</b> | 3.00         | 180          | 3.5         | 250          |
| <b>Cucumber</b>     | 0.64         | 150          | 1           | 190          |
| <b>Okra</b>         | 1.31         | 105          | 1.5         | 130          |

**Table 17: Extend of Spread/adoption/scaling up of interventions year wise.**

|                                     | Before NICRA | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| <b>Area under intervention (ha)</b> | 0            | -    | 2.4  | 3.3  | 1.0  | 2.0  | 0.6  |
| <b>No. of farmers adopted</b>       | 0            | -    | 59   | 82   | 25   | 95   | 19   |

**Table 18: Summary of livestock interventions taken in the NICRA village.**

| Interventions demonstrated                                      | 2011-12 |           | 2012-13 |           | 2013-14 |           | 2014-15        |           | 2015-16       |           | 2016-17 |           |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
|   | No.     | Area (ha) | No.     | Area (ha) | No.     | Area (ha) | No.            | Area (ha) | No.           | Area (ha) | No.     | Area (ha) |
| <b>Improved annual fodder crops (Guinea grass) demonstrated</b> | -       | -         | 16      | -         | 36      | -         | -              | -         | -             | -         | -       | -         |
| <b>Azolla (Culture) as green fodder in lean period</b>          | -       | -         | 28      | -         | 47      | -         | 18             | -         | 7             | -         | 7       | -         |
| <b>Perennial fodder crops introduced</b>                        | -       | -         | -       | -         | -       | -         | -              | -         | -             | -         | -       | -         |
| <b>Improved Beetal breed introduced on dated 26-04-2013</b>     | -       | -         | -       | -         | 2       | -         | -              | -         | -             | -         | -       | -         |
| <b>Silage units demonstrated on dated 27-09-2013</b>            | -       | -         | -       | -         | 84      | -         | 11 (refilling) | -         | 2 (refilling) | -         | -       | -         |
| <b>Mineral mixture demonstrated</b>                             | 371     | -         | 228     | -         | 128     | -         | 73             | -         | 47            | -         | 48      | -         |
| <b>Improved shelter for animal</b>                              | -       | -         | -       | -         | -       | -         | 02             | 02        | -             | -         | -       | -         |
| <b>Backyard poultry birds introduced</b>                        | -       | -         | 250     | -         | -       | -         | 09             | 45        | -             | -         | -       | -         |
| <b>Animal health camp taken up</b>                              | 46      | -         | 31      | -         | 22      | -         | 41             | -         | 44            | -         | 25      | -         |



**Table 19: Details about individual interventions demonstrated.**

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Technology demonstrated and details of the practice</b> | Improved annual fodder crops demonstrated as <i>Azolla</i> Culture as dietary protein inclusion for live stock in lean period              |
| <b>Farmer's practice</b>                                   | Preparation of <i>Azolla</i> unit (3X1x0.2)m produced 1.5 kg/m <sup>2</sup> /week After continuous consumption increase 10-15 % milk yield |
| <b>Year of start</b>                                       | 2012   |

Significant observations about the performance of crop interventions are:

- The area under vegetables increased gradually due to availability of water harvesting structures and higher return as compared to traditional crops. The farmers show interest to adopt the crops which has less requirement of water and follow the technology of ridge & furrow and biomass mulching where water requirement is less.
- *Toria* is grown as *Zaid* crop in residual soil moisture. Demonstration on Gobhi Sarson also showed farmers' preference as oilseed crop in the project area due to quality oil content.
- About 60-65 per cent farmers have adopted male annihilation technique (Pheromone traps) as eco-friendly method of management of fruit fly. The numbers of spray applications for management of this insect pest have been reduced to 2-3 only.

### III. Livestock and Fisheries:

**Intervention on *Azolla* Production:** *Azolla pinnata* is a high quality protein rich aquatic fern which need minimal water requirement for its propagation and it serve as an effective fodder supplement for livestock and poultry. Besides containing around 21-23 per cent crude protein, *Azolla* is also reported to be rich in essential minerals and fibres. In contest to water scarcity situation especially in the lean period (month of April to June as well as November to February) it becomes an efficient source of green fodder. About

30-40 per cent livestock rearers have been adopted technology of *Azolla* cultivation. Demonstrations of Silage preparation, Hay-making, Uromol-bricks and Mineral mixture have also been implemented in the study area and prove adoptable technologies under rain-fed situations.

#### **Intervention on Beetal buck breed upgradation:**

Beetal buck has been introduced in the study areas during the year 2014. The breed adapted and performed well in the rainfed conditions of study area. Mass by weight of this breed was found to be more than 1.5-2.5 kg of 6 month old and milk yield also has higher (200-250 ml/day) as compared to local breed.

#### **Intervention on Backyard poultry in NICRA:**

Demonstration on backyard poultry for self employment has conducted with 'Palam' chick procured from CSK HPKV, Palampur. The farmers were trained for this profitable venture to increase their income and for self employment avenue (Table 18, Table 19).

### IV. Institutional Interventions:

#### **Village level seed bank to combat seed shortage:**

Seed shortage of suitable varieties is an important limitation faced by farmers to implement contingent crop plans to tackle aberrant rainfall situations. Farmers trend to dispose of the entire produce of grain and then depend on external source for seed supply in the next season. Therefore participatory village level seed production of wheat varieties occur by establishing community seed banks (Table 20).

**Table 20: Community Seed bank developed in NICRA village.**

| Name of Intervention                                     | Area demonstrated (ha) /qty produced (q) |         |         |         |         | Increase after demonstration |
|--|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------|
|  | 2012-13                                  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |                              |
| <b>Community based nursery (Onion &amp; Cauliflower)</b> | 0.24                                     | 0.28    | 0.32    | 0.0     | 0.20    | 0.12 ha                      |
| <b>Wheat HPW 236</b>                                     | 3q                                       | 7q      | 10 q    | 0.0     | 0.0     | 8 ha                         |
| <b>Wheat HPW 349</b>                                     | -  | -       | -       | 3q      | -       |                              |

**CONCLUSION:** The top most priority of the present study was to way out the source of water for meeting minimum critical water needs of crop diversification and maintenance of ecological balance under rain-fed condition and to analyze their technical and economical feasibility as well as their affordability for future users. This can lead to sustainable management of biodiversity i.e. the fauna and flora of Hamirpur district and also lead to substantial improvement in the socio economic condition of the small and marginal farmers of NICRA village district Hamirpur also. Poverty and lack of employment is a serious problem in the study region, to overcome this situation it is a necessary to enhance agricultural production through promotion of irrigation through climatic resilient strategies as people gain more cash crops, development of agro-forestry system on wastelands and livestock development with a special focus on generation of productive self-employment for the rural poor. These strategies should enhance the economy of rural poor by providing them gainful self employment opportunity in seasonal and off seasonal vegetables especially in protective cultivation year around. The remote areas are also deprived of basic infrastructural facilities so they should be the target areas of current development programmers. There is also a need to develop low cost economically, climatic resilient agriculture technologies as the target population is poor these technologies enhances the capacities of rural poor and enable them to take active part in the process of strengthening the regional economy. The present study reveals that it is economically feasible to apply decentralized technologies and adopted strategies in farming system for climatic resilient agriculture universally.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** Authors are thankful to ICAR-KVK-NICRA (National Innovations in Climate Resilience Agriculture) for providing necessary grants. We are also thankful to CSKHP Krishi Vishavavidyalaya, Palampur for providing logistic facilities.

#### REFERENCES:

1. IPCC, Climate Change (2001) The Scientific Basis, Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p.137.
2. Bond G. (1997) A pervasive millennial-scale cycle in North Atlantic Holocene and glacial climates, *Science*, 278, 1257–1266.
3. Soon W. and Baliunas S. (2003) Proxy climatic and environmental changes of the past 1000 years. *Climate Res.*, 23, 89–110.
4. Chavez F. P., Ryan J., Lluch-Cota S. E. and Niquen M. (2003) From anchovies to sardines and back: Multidecadal change in the Pacific Ocean, *Science*, 299, 217–221.
5. Speranza A., Van Geel B. and Vander Plicht J. (2003) Evidence for solar forcing of climate change at ca. 850 cal BC from a Czech peat sequence, *Global Planet Change*, 35, 51–65.
6. Rind D. (2002) The sun's role in climate variations, *Science*, 296, 673–677.
7. Perry C. A. and Hsu K. J. (2000) Geophysical, archaeological, and historical evidence support a solar-output model for climate change, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 97, 12433–12438.
8. Reiz C., Maulder P., Begemann L. (1998) Water harvesting for plant production, World Bank Technical Paper 91, Washington, DC, USA.
9. Bhalge P. and Bhavsar C. (2007) Water management in arid and semi arid zone: Traditional wisdom, International History Seminar on Irrigation and Drainage, Tehran-Iran, pp. 423-428.
10. Kowalski E. A. and Dilcher D. L. (2003) Warmer Paleo-temperatures for terrestrial ecosystems, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 100, 167–170.
11. SCSTE (2002) State of the Environment Report, Himachal Pradesh State Council for Science, Technology and Environment, Shimla.
12. Sharma M. R. (2007) Depletion of Drinking Water Sources of Himachal Pradesh, Institute of Integrated Himalayan Studies, Centre of Excellence, H.P. University, Shimla.
13. Sharma M. R. (2006) Status Report on Traditional Drinking Water Sources in HP, Institute of Integrated Himalayan Studies, Centre of Excellence, H.P. University, Shimla.
14. Sharma M. R. (2004) Disease outbreaks caused by drinking water in Himachal Pradesh, *Nature, Environment & Pollution Technology*, 3(3), 293-297.
15. Singh V. and Bohra B. (2006) Dairy Farming in Mountain Areas, Divya Publication House, Delhi, 185.
16. Mittal S.P., and Sharma J.S., (1998) Watershed Management: Experiences of Shivalik Foot Hill Region of Northern India, Proceedings of International Conference on Watershed Management and Conservation, New Delhi, pp 287-295.
17. Rao S. B. P. and Ramachandra, K., (1974) Tied ridging system boosts yields of rainfed cotton, *Indian Farming*, 24 (5), 15-16.
18. Agarwal A., Narain S. (1997) Dying wisdom: Rise, fall and potential of India's traditional water harvesting systems, (State of India's Environment



- A Citizens' report, No. 4). Centre for Science & Environment (CSE), New Delhi, pp. 11-12.
19. Saha R., Ghosh P. K., Mishra V. K. and Bujarbaruah K. M. (2007) Low cost micro-rainwater harvesting technology (Jalkund) for new livelihood of rural hill farmers, *Current Science*, 97, 1258-1265.
20. World Bank, (2007) World Development Report 2008. Agriculture for Development, World Bank Washington, DC.
21. De Janvry A. and Sadoulet E. (2002) World poverty and the role of agricultural technology: Direct and indirect effects, *Journal of Development Studies*, 38(4), 1-26.
22. Hegde N.G. (2010) New technologies to enhance agricultural production and sustainable rural livelihood, [www.baif.org.in](http://www.baif.org.in).
23. Pender J. (2008) Agricultural technology choices for poor farmers in less-favoured Areas of South and East Asia, The fifth discussion papers produced by the Asia and the Pacific Division, IFAD.
24. Gleick P. H. (2002) Water management: Soft water paths, *Nature*, 418, 373.
25. Johnson N., Revenga C. and Echeverria J. (2001) Managing water for people and nature, *Science*, 292, 1071-1072.
26. Pandey D. N. (2002) Sustainability science for mine-spoil restoration, *Current Science*, 83, 792-793.
27. Pandey D. N. (2003) Cultural resources for conservation science, *Con serv. Biol.*, 17, 633-635.
28. Sharma I. P. and Kumar S. (2000) Potential of Rainwater Harvesting in Shivalik hills. In: Mittal S. P., Aggarwal R. K. and Samra J. S. (eds.) Fifty years of research on sustainable resource management in Shivaliks. Central Soil and Water Conservation Research and Training Institute, Research Centre, Chandigarh.
29. Kilcher, L., Huber B. and Schmid O. (2004) Standards and Regulations. In: Willer H. and Yussefi M. (eds.) The World of Organic Agriculture: Statistics and Emerging Trends, 6th revised edition, Bonn: International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements.
30. FAO (2001) (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) and WHO (World Health Organization), Guidelines for the Production, Processing, Labelling and Marketing of Organically Produced Foods, CAC/GL 32- 1999-Rev.1, FAO and WHO Codex Alimentarius Commission, Rome.
31. Scialabba N. and Hattam C. (2002) General Concepts and Issues in Organic Agriculture. In: Scialabba N. and C. Hattam (eds.) Organic Agriculture, Environment and Food Security. Environment and Natural Resources Series 4. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations.
32. IFAD (2005) Organic Agriculture and Poverty Reduction in Asia: China and India Focus; Thematic Evaluation, Report No.1664, International Fund for Agricultural Development, Rome.
33. CSE (2003) A Water Harvesting Manual for Urban Areas: Case Studies from Delhi. Centre for Science and Environment, New Delhi.
34. Prempridi and Chatuthasry (1982) An Introduction to Rainwater Harvesting. <http://www.gdrc.org/uem/water/rainwater/introduction.html>
35. McConville and Jennifer (2006) Applying Life Cycle Thinking to International Water and Sanitation Development Projects. [www.cee.mtu.edu/sustainable\\_engineering/resources/reports/McConville\\_Final\\_Report](http://www.cee.mtu.edu/sustainable_engineering/resources/reports/McConville_Final_Report).
36. Jasrotia A. S. (2009) Water Balance approach for Rainwater harvesting Using Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques, Jammu Himalaya, India, *Water Resource Management*, 3035-3055.
37. Prinz D. and Singh A. K. (2000) Report on Dams and Development: Technological Potential for Improvements of Water Harvesting Study for the World Commission on Dams, Cape Town, South Africa.
38. Reijntjes Coen (2008) Water harvesting: A review of different techniques. <http://www.docstoc.com/docs/2389835/Water-harvesting-a-review-of-different-techniques>.
39. Tage Mamu (2010) A socio-economic institution of Apatanis in historical perspective. PhD Thesis, Rajiv Gandhi University, Arunachal Pradesh, India.
40. Samuel, Manoj P. and Satapathy K. K. (2008) Concerted rainwater harvesting technologies suitable for hilly agro-ecosystems of northeast, India, *Current Science*, 95(9-10), 1130-1132.
41. Saikia S. K. (2005) Diversity of periphyton with emphasis on feeding ecology of fish in rice-fish culture system of Apatani plateau Arunachal Pradesh, PhD Thesis, Rajiv Gandhi University, Arunachal Pradesh, India.
42. Kumar G. and Chander H. (2017) Study on the Potential of *Azolla pinnata* as Livestock Feed Supplement for Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation, *Asian J. Adv. Basic Sci.*, 5(2), 65-68.
43. Kumar G. and Chander H. (2018) Polylined water harvesting tank technique to mitigate the impact

- of climate change on agro-economy in rain fed conditions: A case study, *J. Biol. Chem. Chron.*, 4(1), 01-07.
- 44.** Kumar G. and Chander H. (2017) Documentation of indigenous agricultural implements, practices and other conservation techniques in subtropical climatic zone of Shivalik hills, North Western Himalaya, *J. Biol. Chem. Chron.*, 3(2), 15-23.
- 45.** Kumar G. and Chander H. (2018) Ethno-Veterinary and fodder plants of Awah-Devi region of Hamirpur district, Himachal Pradesh, *J. Biol. Chem. Chron.*, 4(1), 08-15.